Appendix A – East Suffolk Council Draft Relevant Representation

Draft Relevant Representation of East Suffolk Council under section 56 of the Planning Act 2008 in respect of the East Anglia One North (EA1N) and East Anglia Two (EA2) offshore wind farm applications.

East Suffolk Council recognises the national benefit these projects will bring in meeting the renewable energy targets and creating sustainable economic growth in Suffolk provided this is achieved without significant damage to the local built and natural environment, local communities and tourist economy. The local impacts of the projects and their cumulative impacts should be considered and adequately addressed by the promotor.

The areas where the Council has significant concerns and the issues remain unresolved have been outlined below, these matters will be further expanded in future representations submitted separately:

 Landscape and Visual Effects – The applicant has not fully understood the character and significance of the features and landscape elements of the substation site, especially in relation to the historic landscape character and therefore the Environmental Statement does not fully recognise the harm caused by the development. The effectiveness of the proposed mitigation planting has not been adequately justified especially as the assumed growth rates are not reasonably likely to be achieved in the prevailing local conditions. The visualisations are not considered reliable with the inclusion of unsecured pre-construction planting and trees, and vegetation that is seemingly of significantly greater maturity than the 15 years specified. The mitigation planting will therefore be largely ineffective for many more years than is claimed.

The applicant has not demonstrably exhausted all reasonable measures to minimise the impacts of the permanent onshore substations. It is essential that the size and scale of the proposals is minimised with careful consideration given to the layout of the site, building design and materials.

 Noise – There are concerns regarding the adequacy of the noise assessment which it is considered underestimates the operational noise impacts at the substation site. The Council has concerns regarding the modelling of the noise sources, omission of noise from National Grid infrastructure, rating level, assessment of background noise levels, omissions from the assessment and validity of the assessment method utilised. There is also a concern that the construction noise assessment may have been underestimated.

- Design and Masterplan There is insufficient commitment within the Outline Design Principles Statement to secure the minimisation of the scale and impacts of the substations, adequately mitigate the noise emitted or address the future expansions of the site. East Suffolk Council is also not content that the draft Development Consent Orders (DCO) do not apply the Outline Design Principles to the National Grid substation.
- Substation there are concerns in relation to the onshore substation infrastructure associated with both EA1N and EA2 and the impacts on landscape and visual amenity, heritage assets, noise and public rights of way. When taken together there will be a significant adverse impact in respect of the sensitivity of the receiving landscape, local residents and visitors. The mitigation proposals presented to date do not satisfactorily address these concerns.
- Traffic and Transport The Council considers that the proposals are inadequate in a number of ways including:
 - the provisions for abnormal loads are insufficient;
 - the proposals to reduce the southbound A12 speed limit to 40 mph at the Friday Street A12/A1094 junction together with new rumble strips and an adjustment to the existing speed camera would not be adequate to avoid an increase in accidents and that a new roundabout is required, and;
 - the unwillingness to enter into a planning obligation to cover the cost of necessary highways works;
 - there are too many variables around other energy projects to make the assumptions reliable;
- Seascape and Visual Effects The in-combination impacts of the offshore wind turbines of both projects and the visual effects of EA2 alone, will result in significant adverse landscape and visual effects on the Suffolk coast including on the character and special qualities of the Suffolk Coast and Heaths Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty (AONB). The offshore turbines will have a significant and long-term negative impact on a nationally designated landscape. Given the sensitivity and designation of the receiving landscape and seascape, the promotor has not demonstrably exhausted all reasonable mitigation measures in terms of design of the scheme, including the height of the turbines.

East Suffolk Council recognises that the principal consultee in respect of the impacts of the development on the AONB and their significance is Natural England. However, the Council is seeking to meet its duties under section 85 of the Countryside and Rights of Way Act 2000.

- Cumulative Impacts The full cumulative impacts of the existing and potential future projects in the East Suffolk area have not been adequately assessed within the applications.
- Despite the assessments within the Environmental Statement identifying residual impacts from the projects regarding landscape and visual effects, seascape and visual effects, ecology and setting of heritage assets, no additional mitigation and/or compensation is proposed to be secured through a s106 agreement. Although funding secured via a s111 agreement is proposed, we understand this cannot be a material planning consideration.

East Suffolk Council also has concerns or would wish to make representation in a number of additional areas which have been outlined below:

- Socio-Economic The Council welcomes the creation of a new Memorandum of Understanding which establishes a commitment for the local authorities and SPR to work in partnership to maximise the education, skills and economic benefits of the SPR offshore wind projects. The potential scale of local economic growth however hinges on the choice of both base and marshalling ports, which the applicant has not confirmed. The Council will work with SPR to demonstrate the economic benefits of using the facilities at Lowestoft. Notwithstanding these positives, the Council is concerned in relation to the cumulative pressures on the labour force and on accommodation for workers with other major infrastructure projects, in particular the proposed Sizewell C new nuclear power station. The potential impact on tourism is not adequately addressed within the submissions especially when taking into consideration the visitor survey undertaken by the Destination Management Organisation (2019).
- Heritage The assessments under predict the level of harm caused by the developments on the settings of some listed buildings and the Council disagrees with the principle that the mitigation planting will help to reduce the impacts. The projects will also result in the loss of the historic parish boundary between Friston and Knodishall which runs directly through the middle of the proposed substation site, this has not been adequately addressed.
- Air Quality The promotor's assessment shows a risk of adverse impacts to residential amenity and environmental quality. Additional information to demonstrate that adverse impacts have been completely mitigated and managed is required. Should Sizewell C's DCO application be successful, cumulative construction traffic impacts from EA1N/EA2 and Sizewell C pose a risk to achieving the NO₂ annual mean air quality

objective within the Stratford St Andrew Air Quality Management Area. A requirement is recommended to ensure an action group proactively manage construction traffic to minimise exceedance risks.

- Public Rights of Way The impact of the developments on the amenity and the quality
 of the user experience of the public rights of way network has not been adequately
 addressed in the application. This aspect should be a separate theme within the
 Environmental Statements in order to address the impact on both the tourism
 industry and the local communities.
- Flood Risk Although recent flood events in Friston are thought not have had their origin within the proposed substation site the information within the application is not sufficient to determine how the proposed development would interact with existing drainage patterns.
- Ecology The Council is concerned that there are some ecological receptors which are either not considered to have been fully assessed or have insufficient mitigation/compensation measures identified within the Environmental Statements and secured within the draft DCO. These include the impact on bats, hedgerows, woodlands and trees during construction and designated sites in relation to adverse impacts on air quality during construction. In addition to these areas the Council is disappointed with the lack of commitment to biodiversity net gain.
- Coastal Change Further information is necessary to demonstrate the proposed works do not cause local cliff destabilisation or damage to the sub-sea crag outcrop and revisions are required to the requirements to ensure that the detailed design of the works is submitted for approval before construction commences.
- Archaeology the submitted information falls short of the level of detail required by the County Archaeologists. This calls into question the delivery of the schemes within the red line boundary.
- Construction Management there are specific points along the onshore cable corridor where the order limits are constrained, or the construction works will occur very close to residential properties i.e. area south of Sizewell Gap Road, Hundred River Crossing and Friston. Further work is necessary to understand how pre-construction and construction works in these areas would be managed to minimise harm. The Council would also wish to see greater coordination between the construction of the projects.

Summary Position of East Suffolk Council

East Suffolk Council is supportive of the principle of offshore wind development, recognising the strategic need for zero carbon energy and the contribution the industry can make to sustainable economic growth in Suffolk. This must however be achieved without significant damage to the environment, local communities and tourist economy of East Suffolk. The projects as designed to date will result in significant impacts as set out above, particularly in relation to the environment around the substation site and significant effects on the designated landscape. Based on the current submissions East Suffolk Council objects to the overall impact of the onshore substations and raises significant concerns regarding the significant effects predicted from the offshore turbines on the AONB. There are also a number of additional issues which have not been adequately addressed within the applications which have been outlined above. The relevant issues will be set out in more detail within our further submissions.

The Council will seek to engage with the applicant in relation to the concerns outlined above in order to try and minimise the harm caused by the projects and address the issues raised where possible.

The Council will continue to seek and advocate for s106 agreements to secure appropriate mitigation and/or compensation in relation to the identified impacts of the proposals.

The Council will continue to raise concerns and seek to work with Government, namely the Ministry of Housing, Communities and Local Government (MHCLG) and Department for Business, Energy and Industrial Strategy (BEIS) with regards to the cumulative impacts on East Suffolk of the numerous energy projects existing and forthcoming.